

PETER P. EDRINGTON, Esq. (074355)
OWEN T. ROONEY, ESQ. (127830)
EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY
2300 Contra Costa Boulevard, Suite 450
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523-3936
Telephone: (925) 827-3300
Facsimile: (925) 827-3320

Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF PITTSBURG, AARON L. BAKER,
G. LOMBARDI, C. SMITH, P. DUMPA, WILLIAM BLAKE HATCHER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDERICK JACKSON;
ASHLEY NICOLE JACKSON, a Minor;
BRIANA FREDRANIQUE ANNETTE JACKSON,
a Minor; SHAWNA YVETTE MARTIN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF PITTSBURG; AARON L. BAKER,
individually and in his Official Capacity as
CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF
PITTSBURG POLICE DEPARTMENT;
G. LOMBARDI, individually and as an Officer of
the CITY OF PITTSBURG POLICE
DEPARTMENT (BADGE #275); C. SMITH,
individually and as an Officer of the CITY OF
PITTSBURG POLICE DEPARTMENT (BADGE
#285); P. DUMPA, individually and as an Officer of
the CITY OF PITTSBURG POLICE
DEPARTMENT (BADGE #291); WILLIAM
BLAKE HATCHER, individually and as an Officer
of the CITY OF PITTSBURG POLICE
DEPARTMENT (BADGE #274); and
DOES 1 - 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: C 09-01016 WHA

ORDER AFTER HEARING ON
PLAINTIFFS' DISCOVERY
DISPUTE

1 On January 13, 2010 plaintiffs filed a letter brief in support of a discovery dispute.
2 Defendants filed their response on January 14, 2010. Pursuant to the Court's Order dated
3 January 13, 2010 counsel met and conferred on January 19, 2010 and a hearing followed.

4 The Court orders as follows:

5 1. Defendants Hatcher, Lombardi, Smith and Dumpa are ordered to provide further
6 responses to interrogatory no. 7.

7 2. Defendants Hatcher, Lombardi, Smith and Dumpa are not required to provide financial
8 information at this time responsive to interrogatory no. 14. Rather, defendants Hatcher,
9 Lombardi, Smith and Dumpa are ordered to have financial information in defense counsel's
10 possession at the time the jury returns with its verdict. If the verdict awards plaintiff(s) punitive
11 damages, counsel for the defense will then turn over to plaintiffs' counsel the financial
12 documentation and the jury will then determine the amount of punitive damages, if any, plaintiff
13 (s) are entitled to receive from defendants Hatcher, Lombardi, Smith and/or Dumpa. The Court
14 also suggested that the parties stipulate to the net worth and annual salaries of defendants
15 Hatcher, Lombardi, Smith and Dumpa.

16 3. Defendants Hatcher, Lombardi, Smith and Dumpa are ordered to provide further
17 documents responsive to Request for Production no. 2 from their personnel file including their
18 date of hire and job duties and disciplinary records, if any, but this order is expressly limited to
19 disciplinary records regarding race discrimination, excessive force, false imprisonment and
20 improper report writing. These documents are provided subject to a confidentiality and
21 protective order.

22 4. Regarding interrogatory no. 22 to Chief Baker, the Court ruled that this interrogatory
23 contained too many subparts. However, the Court also ruled that Chief Baker must provide a
24 further response to subparagraph (a) and the further response is limited to section 1983 actions
25 only and only for a period of 7 ½ years before the filing of plaintiffs' complaint.


26 5. Regarding Request for Production no. 13 to the City of Pittsburgh, the Court orders that
27 responsive documents, if any, are to be produced only for 7 ½ years before the subject incident
28 and through the filing of plaintiffs' complaint and the production is limited to citizen complaints

1 regarding race discrimination, excessive force and false imprisonment. These documents are
2 provided subject to a confidentiality and protective order.

3
4
5
6
7
8 February 1, 2010.

9
10
11
12 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

13 Dated: January 28, 2010



14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
PANOS LAGOS
Panos Lagos, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
FREDERICK JACKSON, et al.